

From: Luke Coletti [<mailto:ljc@groknet.net>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2016 3:25 AM

To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; Aue, Marianna@waterboards; Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards

Cc: Sodhi, Bina@Waterboards

Subject: Today's Condition 2 Meeting.

Hello again,

If I had been able to attend today's Condition 2 meeting my main point would have been that "paper water" transfers, to increase on-site use, as proposed by Cal-Am and MPWMD, should not be allowed. Further, the current method of determining an intensification of use, by comparing the average of recent Cal-Am "wet water" demand and MPWMD "credits" should be continued.

The Monterey peninsula has made real progress in reducing our water consumption from the overdrafted Carmel River (see attached plot). However, the community might wonder why they must continue to conserve if new hotels and other major development were to go forward because of a significant change in the interpretation of Condition 2. I believe the proposed change in the interpretation of Condition 2 could negatively affect the current trend in water consumption shown in the attached plot.

Please submit this message and attachment into the record of today's Condition 2 meeting.

Thank you,

Luke Coletti
Pacific Grove

CAL-AM ASR AND SYSTEM DIVERSIONS FOR THE CARMEL RIVER DURING THE CDO.

