

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	29.4%	25.2%	41.3	95,224
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	12%	20.5%	16.1%	51.1	14,999
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	28.6%	28.0%	52.8	86,946
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	31.3%	26.5%	58.9	39,043
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	22.6%	19.2%	60.2	45,802
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	18.2%	17.1%	60.7	10,234
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	24.6%	13.5%	64.3	41,541
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	27.3%	23.2%	66.0	121,530
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	12%	32.9%	31.9%	68.2	32,375
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	16.4%	13.2%	70.3	100,623
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	36.8%	34.8%	72.0	93,091
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	23.5%	20.1%	74.1	65,739
Scotts Valley Water District	Central Coast	16%	28.7%	26.4%	75.8	10,309
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	34.1%	34.9%	81.5	14,616
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	26.4%	27.6%	84.4	20,515
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	32.3%	27.8%	90.9	53,306
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	17.6%	14.8%	92.9	102,087
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	28%	36.2%	34.9%	95.7	19,189
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	24%	24.9%	19.6%	98.7	7,861
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	35.6%	31.9%	99.9	17,121
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	19.5%	13.4%	102.5	16,729
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	28%	36.9%	35.8%	103.6	41,779
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	23.4%	28.5%	104.7	29,179
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	28%	33.0%	29.5%	114.3	30,450
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	32.9%	26.9%	123.3	31,432
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	33.0%	28.0%	136.9	12,512
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	32%	39.7%	37.2%	137.8	31,872
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	47.0%	48.3%	211.9	13,500
Coachella City of	Colorado River	24%	20.0%	17.9%	97.2	43,917

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Calexico City of	Colorado River	20%	13.7%	-9.3%	101.8	41,033
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	28%	16.0%	13.2%	104.4	18,795
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	28%	26.2%	19.2%	110.1	9,514
El Centro City of	Colorado River	24%	16.0%	4.9%	114.5	44,311
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	24%	15.4%	7.1%	114.7	18,022
Banning City of	Colorado River	32%	31.4%	28.4%	120.7	30,325
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	28%	18.8%	19.2%	123.4	37,600
Blythe City of	Colorado River	32%	13.2%	6.4%	132.7	13,839
Indio City of	Colorado River	32%	21.6%	12.0%	143.2	88,411
Brawley City of	Colorado River	32%	35.8%	33.4%	150.0	27,743
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	36%	30.8%	23.9%	164.6	120,573
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	36%	31.2%	22.9%	261.6	8,948
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	36%	27.1%	16.4%	300.9	213,802
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	1.6%	3.2%	55.2	18,464
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	13.0%	11.6%	59.9	27,052
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	17.3%	19.1%	60.7	18,290
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	18.1%	8.8%	64.9	16,900
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	24%	11.6%	12.8%	66.1	20,032
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	27.7%	27.4%	66.4	7,751
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	17.9%	13.4%	72.2	43,398
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	26.7%	22.6%	72.3	170,974
Fortuna City of	North Coast	24%	22.0%	20.6%	77.7	12,032
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	25.4%	23.8%	79.0	27,486
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	35.9%	25.9%	81.9	3,198
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	28.6%	30.8%	102.9	11,687
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	-5.5%	-2.7%	104.2	602
Yreka, City of	North Coast	32%	17.9%	6.5%	124.6	7,840
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	20%	22.6%	15.8%	85.3	33,124
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	28%	31.4%	18.3%	107.5	32,776

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	20%	35.1%	27.5%	120.7	8,347
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	28%	27.7%	24.5%	125.4	7,500
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	36%	20.5%	1.2%	231.6	8,978
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	28%	28.2%	20.6%	74.1	10,777
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	24%	44.5%	45.1%	84.7	9,615
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	28%	33.3%	28.4%	100.1	9,984
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	20%	38.1%	30.2%	100.4	201,418
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	24%	29.8%	23.0%	102.9	12,222
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	24%	34.5%	29.2%	103.8	57,223
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	28%	38.0%	30.4%	109.1	50,836
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	28%	37.4%	31.0%	111.9	44,255
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	20%	25.3%	19.8%	112.5	107,540
Davis City of	Sacramento River	28%	28.1%	18.2%	113.7	68,315
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	28%	32.5%	26.5%	114.9	480,155
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	28%	34.4%	27.8%	115.0	7,130
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	28%	36.8%	30.4%	118.4	122,946
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	32%	38.5%	33.1%	124.9	173,848
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	32%	34.9%	32.7%	126.7	174,847
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	28%	36.9%	35.4%	129.7	10,293
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	32%	30.6%	26.8%	130.3	68,379
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	36%	38.9%	41.9%	132.3	21,441
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	32%	41.5%	35.9%	140.4	102,947
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	36%	44.6%	54.9%	141.7	19,509
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	32%	35.9%	31.7%	142.1	86,893
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	32%	36.2%	27.5%	143.4	18,808
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	36%	32.1%	29.1%	146.4	14,076
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	32%	33.2%	17.4%	150.8	9,864
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	32%	34.8%	26.4%	152.9	45,837
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	32%	31.9%	26.0%	161.9	95,717

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	32%	38.3%	29.1%	164.0	67,333
Galt City of	Sacramento River	32%	35.2%	30.2%	168.0	24,289
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	36%	36.2%	29.1%	175.6	43,767
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	36%	43.0%	31.4%	175.9	26,032
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	36%	32.9%	18.5%	183.6	44,761
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	36%	31.5%	22.5%	186.0	8,324
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	32%	30.2%	21.3%	186.4	63,376
Redding City of	Sacramento River	36%	30.0%	23.9%	187.0	91,207
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	36%	35.9%	24.4%	199.4	11,013
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	36%	34.8%	25.5%	205.6	38,354
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	36%	36.2%	25.2%	213.7	36,226
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	28%	29.5%	19.4%	223.8	118,500
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	36%	37.8%	27.2%	242.0	14,283
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	36%	54.5%	51.4%	281.3	17,619
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	36%	38.8%	29.9%	310.0	32,779
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	32.3%	30.9%	38.1	39,000
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	22.8%	19.2%	40.6	61,590
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	15.6%	13.2%	42.8	846,601
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	26.7%	3.4%	42.9	152,889
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	20.5%	10.6%	44.9	16,668
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	25.4%	36.8%	46.7	29,143
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	24.8%	29.6%	47.0	14,050
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	28.9%	24.5%	56.7	22,258
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	26.3%	24.5%	57.8	70,817
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	10.1%	0.1%	57.8	105,810
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	17.3%	13.1%	61.6	37,165
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	32.8%	25.0%	62.6	76,210
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	32.9%	29.8%	64.2	30,282
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	29.1%	16.5%	64.6	87,696

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	33.6%	24.5%	65.7	148,028
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	28.4%	27.6%	66.3	134,929
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	42.4%	39.6%	67.4	79,593
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	35.2%	33.0%	71.6	99,199
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	23.3%	18.2%	71.7	124,000
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	49.7%	55.7%	71.8	16,066
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	28.3%	30.3%	72.4	21,532
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	26.5%	24.2%	72.9	20,080
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	27.9%	22.5%	74.0	1,390,000
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	33.4%	26.9%	74.1	346,000
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	23.8%	10.9%	74.2	120,973
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	35.1%	30.8%	76.2	990,000
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	26.5%	22.2%	78.8	61,258
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	28%	28.2%	29.1%	80.4	28,549
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	24.6%	20.4%	83.4	66,183
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	30.6%	27.5%	83.6	26,730
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	32.2%	24.7%	85.0	117,576
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	35.0%	31.6%	86.0	28,086
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	36.3%	40.4%	88.2	61,299
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	29.7%	22.1%	90.2	23,478
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	30.7%	20.4%	94.6	64,403
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	21.8%	18.3%	96.9	188,200
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	25.6%	19.4%	97.2	86,051
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	24%	44.5%	39.3%	97.4	58,651
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	20%	38.0%	31.5%	101.6	27,588
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	28%	40.4%	41.1%	102.7	199,680
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	43.3%	37.5%	107.6	73,067
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	37.6%	33.9%	120.3	68,191
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	30.5%	16.7%	129.5	11,579

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	35.7%	29.0%	151.8	70,035
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	20%	25.5%	18.8%	73.3	170,703
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	16%	35.5%	28.8%	78.7	48,630
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	24%	32.2%	28.2%	78.9	23,347
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	28%	28.7%	43.1%	93.1	21,094
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	28%	33.8%	30.2%	100.2	38,194
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	20%	32.5%	28.4%	101.8	19,831
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	28%	24.4%	18.9%	104.5	47,639
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	32%	42.1%	36.5%	104.6	56,493
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	24%	37.7%	31.4%	104.8	28,997
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	28%	35.0%	31.4%	108.1	108,298
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	n/a	27.8%	27.8%	111.9	14,675
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	28%	32.4%	25.4%	113.7	177,808
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	24%	32.5%	25.6%	123.9	3,400
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	32%	31.6%	28.7%	126.2	75,408
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	28%	30.7%	24.0%	128.8	85,146
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	24%	26.0%	19.0%	130.1	10,668
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	32%	10.8%	14.3%	131.1	14,898
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	28%	25.8%	24.2%	134.1	37,168
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	28%	29.7%	21.7%	134.9	63,961
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	32%	28.1%	22.4%	135.5	63,651
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	32%	27.1%	26.3%	142.8	71,181
Winton Water & Sanitary District	San Joaquin River	36%	23.9%	16.3%	149.2	8,500
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	32%	15.3%	27.3%	149.4	23,298
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	32%	40.6%	31.7%	155.4	22,058
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	36%	28.6%	16.4%	159.4	220,916
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	36%	41.3%	36.8%	176.6	83,400
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	36%	35.5%	32.5%	187.3	29,500
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	36%	28.3%	22.5%	218.7	14,915

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Madera County	San Joaquin River	36%	33.7%	29.3%	242.6	14,326
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	17.1%	17.2%	44.3	158,993
Vernon City of	South Coast	8%	3.8%	6.6%	45.3	112
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	15.4%	16.3%	46.6	64,219
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	16.6%	18.8%	51.9	22,968
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	12.8%	12.7%	53.3	65,492
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	20.0%	19.6%	54.4	196,720
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	16.0%	16.5%	54.5	57,934
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	8%	22.9%	27.1%	57.4	25,561
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	13.5%	8.3%	59.2	81,963
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	12%	20.8%	24.3%	59.6	25,605
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	27.7%	27.5%	59.6	95,358
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	20%	25.5%	27.0%	59.8	59,227
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	12%	25.4%	24.0%	60.7	191,500
Valley County Water District	South Coast	16%	25.3%	26.2%	62.0	71,003
Inglewood City of	South Coast	12%	17.7%	17.0%	62.3	86,418
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	12%	15.1%	15.6%	62.4	271,677
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	12%	20.4%	21.3%	62.6	332,005
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	16%	34.5%	23.8%	63.3	271,817
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	12%	15.0%	17.5%	64.1	27,656
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	16%	18.5%	12.6%	64.7	25,628
Pomona City of	South Coast	20%	26.9%	28.8%	65.1	152,419
San Diego City of	South Coast	16%	24.1%	21.7%	65.4	1,314,290
South Gate City of	South Coast	12%	14.7%	21.9%	65.4	96,057
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	12%	20.2%	17.0%	65.8	44,466
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	16%	26.0%	27.6%	66.7	111,904
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	10.9%	11.1%	66.9	44,537
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	16%	18.0%	19.8%	68.6	47,978
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	20.6%	18.0%	68.6	21,555

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	16%	16.6%	12.8%	69.2	387,773
Long Beach City of	South Coast	16%	18.7%	18.6%	69.2	474,225
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	19.2%	20.5%	69.4	36,215
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	16%	18.7%	18.5%	69.6	32,219
Hemet City of	South Coast	32%	24.8%	21.4%	70.3	29,982
Paramount City of	South Coast	12%	18.6%	10.2%	71.0	55,051
La Palma City of	South Coast	20%	26.8%	30.3%	72.1	15,896
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	21.3%	21.6%	73.1	39,002
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	20%	19.9%	21.8%	73.3	95,853
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	35.9%	25.4%	73.9	113,478
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	25.9%	24.8%	74.5	18,199
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	22.6%	23.1%	76.0	93,283
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	33.3%	34.8%	76.2	90,101
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	16%	19.9%	3.2%	76.6	12,411
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	16%	19.0%	21.8%	77.0	4,016,064
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	16%	15.9%	22.8%	77.7	142,283
Otay Water District	South Coast	20%	27.3%	29.0%	78.1	217,800
Oceanside City of	South Coast	20%	26.6%	27.3%	78.5	171,183
Helix Water District	South Coast	20%	27.9%	28.0%	78.5	270,375
Mesa Water District	South Coast	20%	23.6%	17.8%	78.7	108,000
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	20%	28.9%	29.4%	80.1	124,746
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	18.3%	18.9%	80.4	62,106
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	24.3%	29.3%	80.4	177,020
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	27.2%	31.6%	80.4	9,000
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	4.3%	3.0%	80.4	16,654
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	20%	22.9%	23.2%	80.5	35,996
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	20%	32.0%	33.6%	80.7	35,500
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	19.9%	20.7%	80.9	46,300
Westminster City of	South Coast	20%	20.0%	20.1%	80.9	93,322

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Azusa City of	South Coast	20%	24.6%	25.0%	81.1	110,000
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	18.7%	21.6%	81.2	62,183
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	20%	25.0%	26.5%	81.7	45,000
Buena Park City of	South Coast	20%	26.2%	31.5%	82.1	82,767
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	24%	30.7%	29.9%	82.7	46,543
Glendale City of	South Coast	20%	25.1%	24.5%	83.7	193,300
Downey City of	South Coast	20%	24.6%	27.9%	83.9	111,931
Anaheim City of	South Coast	20%	25.8%	23.7%	84.0	360,142
Lakewood City of	South Coast	20%	29.1%	29.5%	84.3	59,081
Escondido City of	South Coast	20%	33.1%	26.5%	84.6	134,053
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	24%	33.8%	39.6%	85.4	95,634
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	20.5%	17.2%	85.4	20,463
Colton, City of	South Coast	20%	20.3%	22.0%	85.7	47,612
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	24%	30.0%	29.9%	86.2	26,827
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	20%	25.5%	26.7%	86.6	196,041
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	28%	22.1%	20.1%	87.9	66,219
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	24%	37.1%	37.9%	88.1	43,351
Pico Water District	South Coast	24%	24.8%	27.8%	88.4	25,284
San Bernardino County Service Area 70	South Coast	28%	28.1%	19.0%	88.6	11,407
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	22.8%	24.5%	89.0	19,121
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	24%	29.4%	31.3%	89.5	30,611
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	28%	30.0%	27.7%	90.0	30,009
Fullerton City of	South Coast	28%	25.2%	27.1%	90.2	138,000
Norwalk City of	South Coast	20%	18.3%	19.1%	92.1	18,361
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	28.2%	30.0%	92.3	51,385
Chino City of	South Coast	24%	24.3%	23.9%	93.3	78,073
San Fernando City of	South Coast	24%	24.5%	27.3%	93.4	23,645
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	26.6%	31.1%	93.8	48,628
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	31.4%	30.0%	94.0	35,004

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	24%	36.9%	38.1%	95.1	118,224
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	24%	27.3%	28.3%	96.3	178,500
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	24.3%	25.1%	97.2	47,389
Whittier City of	South Coast	20%	21.1%	21.7%	97.6	49,954
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	24.1%	26.2%	98.0	172,068
Alhambra City of	South Coast	24%	26.8%	27.1%	98.3	85,068
Burbank City of	South Coast	24%	28.2%	30.5%	98.8	106,084
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	29.4%	38.9%	99.1	149,507
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	26.7%	28.5%	99.4	84,838
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	28%	31.4%	35.1%	99.6	209,035
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	24%	28.3%	23.3%	99.7	56,039
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	24.3%	22.4%	101.3	63,118
Orange City of	South Coast	28%	28.1%	29.7%	102.6	139,463
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	21.0%	23.8%	102.9	539,410
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	24%	29.3%	30.0%	103.6	156,949
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	28%	31.9%	32.3%	103.8	16,126
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	28%	22.7%	27.0%	104.3	32,000
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	22.3%	32.6%	105.9	43,328
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	27.1%	30.1%	106.4	115,000
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	28%	26.9%	27.7%	106.6	39,047
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	32%	33.5%	33.3%	107.4	15,582
Pasadena City of	South Coast	28%	24.2%	24.7%	107.5	166,482
Covina City of	South Coast	28%	25.9%	27.8%	108.3	33,300
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	33.8%	37.3%	108.4	36,564
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	28%	31.5%	34.7%	108.8	25,899
Tustin City of	South Coast	28%	30.0%	34.7%	109.2	67,700
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	28%	34.4%	33.9%	109.5	54,872
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	32%	35.2%	39.6%	111.5	15,543
Riverside City of	South Coast	28%	26.1%	27.9%	114.1	299,626

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	28%	30.7%	30.9%	115.2	198,163
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	29.1%	37.8%	115.2	77,596
Cerritos City of	South Coast	28%	25.9%	26.8%	115.9	49,041
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	28%	30.5%	32.8%	116.7	90,687
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	28%	32.6%	33.7%	117.8	51,609
Rialto City of	South Coast	28%	19.6%	22.5%	118.3	54,036
La Verne City of	South Coast	32%	32.3%	34.0%	118.5	32,228
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	34.1%	34.9%	119.5	29,955
Monrovia City of	South Coast	28%	26.7%	34.0%	121.0	39,147
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	28%	22.3%	24.5%	121.2	37,168
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	41.0%	46.5%	121.5	52,914
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	32%	35.4%	37.6%	122.5	121,688
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	32%	38.1%	43.8%	124.9	12,200
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	36%	28.1%	33.3%	125.6	34,894
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	32%	29.2%	32.4%	127.4	96,139
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	23.9%	27.9%	127.8	168,070
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	28%	26.8%	30.9%	128.6	118,731
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	34.3%	37.0%	129.2	13,175
Fillmore City of	South Coast	28%	27.0%	23.9%	129.3	15,222
Glendora City of	South Coast	36%	35.7%	36.4%	129.6	51,463
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	32%	28.3%	29.2%	131.1	38,703
Sanger City of	South Coast	28%	24.4%	21.6%	134.0	25,664
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	32%	44.0%	42.3%	135.1	37,160
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	32%	24.5%	25.8%	137.3	23,614
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	29.3%	12.1%	138.1	9,603
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	36%	41.6%	43.7%	139.6	72,500
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	28%	31.7%	34.4%	139.7	45,036
Poway City of	South Coast	32%	36.7%	35.7%	140.7	48,774
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	32.9%	30.5%	142.3	78,713

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	28%	31.2%	34.0%	143.1	102,889
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	32%	28.4%	31.8%	143.1	62,144
East Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	31.2%	24.2%	145.4	101,733
West Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	22.7%	26.0%	154.5	73,836
Upland City of	South Coast	36%	32.0%	33.0%	157.6	75,670
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	20.4%	20.5%	161.0	42,157
Arcadia City of	South Coast	36%	30.1%	33.5%	161.6	57,639
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	36%	31.2%	35.7%	164.9	168,978
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	36%	42.1%	49.3%	166.1	25,458
Rainbow Municipal Water District	South Coast	36%	43.0%	55.3%	166.7	19,495
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	27.4%	32.6%	167.0	25,891
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	36%	34.4%	36.6%	167.5	14,949
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	36%	34.0%	36.2%	177.1	75,384
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	32.0%	34.8%	184.4	69,937
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	36%	30.0%	25.8%	186.4	9,600
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	32%	23.0%	19.3%	188.0	11,094
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	36%	39.6%	41.8%	192.6	3,247
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	36%	25.0%	23.3%	202.6	44,656
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	36%	27.0%	31.1%	203.1	43,111
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	36%	35.4%	39.0%	218.8	19,447
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	South Coast	36%	38.7%	39.7%	232.2	7,254
Redlands City of	South Coast	36%	25.1%	30.2%	235.4	77,852
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	24.2%	25.0%	247.4	22,216
Valley Water Company	South Coast	36%	31.8%	34.7%	267.2	9,900
Serrano Water District	South Coast	36%	43.2%	43.6%	271.2	6,641
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	36%	39.9%	38.0%	357.8	19,839
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	24.0%	25.8%	52.1	7,565
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	32%	35.2%	39.8%	53.4	14,031
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	16%	34.1%	35.9%	62.0	21,052

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	20%	38.8%	31.2%	64.7	16,739
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	32%	18.5%	23.0%	90.3	25,160
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	24%	25.9%	18.9%	96.1	31,209
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	20%	14.7%	18.2%	97.4	28,723
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	28%	32.5%	32.9%	102.6	62,602
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	28%	31.8%	33.8%	116.9	17,700
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	32%	29.6%	30.2%	120.1	116,183
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	28%	27.8%	24.7%	126.9	125,896
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	32%	24.9%	23.0%	133.1	91,627
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	36%	45.0%	54.2%	135.3	3,391
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	32%	36.6%	27.4%	148.6	207,304
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	36%	24.7%	18.8%	202.7	31,120
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	36%	38.4%	35.2%	211.1	20,352
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	32%	23.2%	34.6%	79.0	25,281
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	24%	22.8%	18.0%	81.4	54,318
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	24%	23.4%	22.4%	87.5	24,194
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	28%	32.3%	23.1%	90.3	20,499
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	36%	24.8%	22.1%	114.4	26,159
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	28%	30.0%	26.3%	117.5	8,839
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	28%	18.8%	21.5%	117.6	5,595
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	28%	18.2%	1.6%	122.8	21,181
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	28%	27.9%	23.5%	127.8	20,009
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	32%	40.7%	39.6%	127.8	25,065
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	36%	27.6%	26.0%	128.1	16,735
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	32%	35.0%	34.5%	130.3	61,946
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	28%	28.0%	22.3%	137.4	520,159
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	32%	34.6%	36.3%	140.3	279,143
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	32%	25.1%	21.6%	144.8	139,027
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	32%	26.0%	21.4%	155.2	14,359

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.

September 2015 Water Conservation Report by Supplier (by hydro region, then R-GPCD)

389 suppliers reporting for September

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - September 2015)*		Monthly Savings (September 2015)		
		Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Sep-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	36%	32.3%	27.9%	155.4	10,572
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	28%	11.0%	15.5%	155.5	57,050
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	32%	31.8%	19.2%	168.7	16,529
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	36%	29.1%	23.8%	177.2	19,100
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	36%	32.0%	27.6%	180.6	108,227
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	36%	31.9%	25.8%	186.9	16,756
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	36%	30.7%	26.0%	189.6	31,772
Corcoran, City of	Tulare Lake	36%	31.8%	25.5%	191.5	24,154
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	36%	30.6%	33.8%	192.1	33,163
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	36%	40.1%	44.5%	194.0	11,685
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	36%	31.5%	29.0%	207.9	143,148
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	36%	28.9%	24.2%	359.0	30,861

* Cumulative savings is used to assess supplier compliance with mandatory 25 percent statewide conservation.